

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,694	07/15/2003	Sang-Hyun Doh	5000-1-335	7138
33942 7590 02/16/2007 CHA & REITER, LLC 210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103			EXAMINER	
			LEUNG, CHRISTINA Y	
PARAMUS, NJ 07652			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,694	DOH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Y. Leung	2613
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the se	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re inication. utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON vill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>22 November 2006</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	· -	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority of		
2. Certified copies of the priority of		
3. Copies of the certified copies o	•	received in this National Stage
application from the Internation		
* See the attached detailed Office action	ior a list of the certified copies not	receivea.
Attachment(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/619,694 Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 4 as currently amended recites "where N is an number of nodes *before* a new node has been added" in line 4 of the claim. However, Examiner respectfully notes that the method steps recited in claim 4 appears to be directed to the subject matter described on pages 26-27 of the specification (beginning from section "II-2 When the number of nodes is increased from an even number to an odd number"). Since the claim further recites in the next to last line of the claim "where N being an *odd* number," it appears that the claim as currently amended now recites a situation wherein the number of nodes is increased from an odd number to an even number instead. However, the specification does not support a situation of increasing the number of nodes from an odd number to an even number wherein the particular steps recited in claim 4 are used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,694

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception.

Claims 1-4 recite processes. However, although processes fall within at least one of the four enumerated categories of patentable subject matter, Applicant's claims are directed to abstract ideas that fall under a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception. Claims directed to nothing more than abstract ideas (such as mathematical algorithms), natural phenomena, and laws of nature are not eligible for patent protection (MPEP 2106 IV. C.).

Examiner notes that claims that cover a *practical application* of a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception may be patentable. A claimed invention is directed to a practical application of a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception when it:

- (A) "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing; or
- (B) otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result (based on factors outlined in greater detail in MPEP 2106 IV. C. 2.).

However, although Applicant's claims recite "a method for assigning a predetermined wavelength between two different nodes in a wavelength division multiplexing ring communication network that has an N number of nodes and at least one pair of optical fibers sequentially connecting the N number of nodes" (to quote from claim 1; claim 4 is similar), Examiner respectfully notes the ring network, nodes, and fibers are recited only in the preamble of the claims. The process steps positively recited in the claims are only directed to creating and numerically manipulating an abstract matrix. Although the recited matrix contains values based on the ring network arrangement, the claims do not further recite a practical application of the recited matrix manipulation steps to transform an article or physical object to a different state or

Application/Control Number: 10/619,694

Art Unit: 2613

thing or to produce a real-world *result*. The "useful, concrete and tangible result" requirement requires that the claim must recite more than a 35 U.S.C. 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that judicial exception to produce a real-world result (MPEP 2106 IV. C. 2. b.).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 22 November 2006, with respect to Ellinas et al. (US 5,999,288) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of claims 1-4 has been withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Based on Applicant's specification, Examiner notes that the claims could be amended by Applicant by further adding limitations to recite a claimed invention producing a useful, concrete and tangible result to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections above. Examiner notes if claims 1-4 were amended by Applicant to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection above (and amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 4), they may contain allowable subject matter.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Y. Leung whose telephone number is 571-272-3023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2613

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHRISTINA LEUNG